Genome Size Estimates and Chromosome Numbers of Callicarpa L. (Lamiaceae)
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Abstract. Genome size estimates and chromosome number information can be useful for studying the evolution or taxonomy of a group and also can be useful for plant breeders in predicting cross-compatibility. Callicarpa L. is a group of ≈140 species with nearly worldwide distribution. There are no estimates of genome size in the literature and the information on chromosome numbers is limited. Genome size estimates based on flow cytometry are reported here for 16 accessions of *Callicarpa* comprising 14 species in addition to chromosome counts on six species. Chromosome counts were conducted by staining meristic elements of roots tips using modified carbol fuchsin. Holoploid genome size estimates ranged from 1.34 pg to 3.48 pg with a mean of 1.74 pg. Two tetraploids (*2n* = 4x = 68; *C. salicifolia* P’ei & W. Z. Fang and *C. macrophylla* Vahl GEN09-0081) were identified based on holoploid genome size and confirmed by chromosome counts. There was little variation among species for monoploid genome size. 1Cx-values ranged from 0.67 pg to 0.88 pg with a mean of 0.77 pg. Chromosome counts for six species revealed a base chromosome number of *x* = 17. *Callicarpa chejuensis* Y. H. Chung & H. Kim, *C. japonica* Thunb, ‘Leucocarpa’, *C. longissima* Merr., and *C. rubella* Lindl. were confirmed as diploids (*2n* = 2x = 34). Cytology supported flow cytometry data that *C. salicifolia* and *C. macrophylla* GEN09-0081 were tetraploids. The two accessions of *C. macrophylla* included in the study were found to be of different ploidy levels. The presence of two ploidy levels among and within species indicates that polyploidization events have occurred in the genus.

Callicarpa is composed of ≈140 species found in Asia, Africa, Australia, and North and South America; however, most species are distributed in tropical and subtropical Asia (Shou-liang and Gilbert, 1994). Centers of diversity have been identified as the Philippine Islands for Old World species and Cuba for New World species, the former comprising a much larger group (Moldenke, 1936). *Callicarpa* was previously placed in the Verbenaceae; however, it was recently transferred into Lamiaceae along with several other genera (Cantino, 1992; Harley et al., 2004). Most species are shrubs, but there are also tree and subcandident members of the genus (Moldenke, 1936). Leaves are typically decussate with axillary inflorescences that are most often cymose (Bramley, 2009; Moldenke, 1936). Beautyberries, as they are commonly referred, are grown primarily for their showy berry-like drupes produced in fall. However, species have been found to contain a number of compounds that have allelochemical activity (Cruz-Ortega et al., 2002), mosquito repellent properties (Cantrell et al., 2005), and act as cyanobactericides (Tellez et al., 2000). In addition to landscape use as an ornamental, *Callicarpa* spp. have been grown for use as cut stems for the florist’s trade (Bir and Conner, 1997; Greer and Dole, 2009).

In recent years, there has been an increase in the number of genome size estimates available for both plants and animals (Bennett and Leitch, 2005; Gregory, 2005). For plants, there has been progress in documenting genome sizes for diverse groups (Bennett and Leitch, 2005) and angiosperms, in particular, have received much attention (Bennett and Leitch, 1995, 1997, 2005; Bennett et al., 2000). The Plant DNA C-values Database (Bennett and Leitch, 2005) currently contains data for 5150 species; however, no genome size estimates have been reported for *Callicarpa*.

Chromosome number has been a useful tool for researchers investigating evolutionary relationships (Guerra, 2008; Levin and Wilson, 1976), particularly at the generic level (Goldblatt, 2007). Chromosome number data complement genome size estimates by allowing calculation of chromosome size, which has been correlated with evolutionary age (Mehra and Bawa, 1972). Knowledge of chromosome number is also a useful tool for breeders (Fehr, 1991). Chromosome numbers can affect inbreeding depression and the potential for introgression of traits through interspecific hybridization, among other factors that can alter breeding strategy (Fehr, 1991). Unfortunately, relative to the number of species in the genus, the cytological information is sparse for *Callicarpa*.

The first beautyberry chromosome count reported was for *C. japonica* (*2n* = 32) by Sugiuira (1936), a count that appears to have been incorrectly cited numerous times. In the seminal compilation of Darlington and Wylie (1956), *C. japonica* is cited from Sugiuira’s (1936) publication; however, the count was reported as *2n* = 16, possibly because the original work cites the chromosome count using the haploid notation (*n* = 16). Another count attributed to Patermann (1938; see Darlington and Wylie, 1956) for *C. japonica* (*2n* = 18) is not included in the bibliography and, therefore, should not be considered reliable. Lewis (1961) cited Darlington and Wylie (1956) and concluded that *2n* = 18 was the correct count for *C. japonica* and also provided the first account for *C. americana* L. as *2n* = 36. Furthermore, he reported that *C. americana* was a tetraploid (*2n* = 4x = 36), concluding that *x* = 9 in *Callicarpa*. It seems that the erroneous citation of Sugiuira’s 1936 publication led to confusion regarding the base chromosome number in *Callicarpa*. Additional reports of chromosome numbers in *Callicarpa* are summarized in Table 1. Of note is the fact that multiple base chromosome numbers are reported (*x* = 16, 17, 18). Also, multiple chromosome numbers were reported for *C. glabra* Koidz. (*2n* = 32, 34; Ono, 1975), *C. macrophylla* (*2n* = 32, 34; Sharma and Mukhopadhyay, 1963), *C. subpubescens* Hook. & Arn. (*2n* = 30, 34; Ono, 1975), and *C. tomentosa* L. (*2n* = 68, 85; Mehra and Bawa, 1969). These multiple base chromosome numbers indicate that dysploidy is likely present in the genus. Yamazaki (1993) reported chromosome numbers for four species; however, there is no indication regarding how these counts were determined. Species include *C. kochiana* Makino (*2n* = 34), *C. formosana* Rolfe (*2n* = 36), *C. japonica* (*2n* = 32, 36), and *C. dichotoma* Rausch. (*2n* = 36). Similarly, Harley et al. (2004) reported the generic chromosome complement of *Callicarpa* as *2n* = 16 or 18 with no reference, although it is likely that the source was Darlington and Wylie (1956).

There has been a lack of reports in recent years for chromosome numbers of *Callicarpa*.
Materials and Methods

Plant material. Sixteen taxa representing 14 species were maintained in containers at the University of Georgia, Tifton Campus. Plants were grown in 2.4-L or 11.4-L containers filled with substrate containing 8% pine bark: 1 sand amended with 0.91 kg m⁻³ dolomitic lime and 0.45 kg m⁻³ to add to the available information on the genus. ’Lactea’ — 1.56 b 0.78 6.08 ± 0.50 2 x

Table 1. Previously reported chromosome numbers in Callicarpa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Chromosome no.</th>
<th>Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. acuminata</td>
<td>2n = 34</td>
<td>Mehta and Bawa, 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. americana</td>
<td>2n = 36</td>
<td>Lewis, 1961; Santamour, 1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. formosana</td>
<td>2n = 36</td>
<td>Chuang et al., 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. glabra</td>
<td>2n = 32, 34</td>
<td>Ono, 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. japonica</td>
<td>2n = 32</td>
<td>Sugita, 1936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. japonica</td>
<td>2n = 36</td>
<td>Santamour, 1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. tomentosa</td>
<td>2n = 34</td>
<td>Chuang et al., 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. macrophylla</td>
<td>2n = 32, 34</td>
<td>Sharma and Mukhopadhyay, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. nishimurae Koidz.</td>
<td>2n = 34</td>
<td>Ono, 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. psilocalyx</td>
<td>2n = 34</td>
<td>Mehta and Bawa, 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. subpubescens</td>
<td>2n = 30, 34</td>
<td>Ono, 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. tomentosa</td>
<td>2n = 68, 85</td>
<td>Mehta and Bawa, 1969</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Genome sizes calculated using flow cytometry on diadiminophenyl indoled (DAPI)-stained nuclei and chromosome numbers counted using light microscopy of Callicarpa spp. maintained at the University of Georgia, Tifton Campus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>2C genome size</th>
<th>1C x genome size</th>
<th>cv%</th>
<th>Chrom. no.</th>
<th>Ploidy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. acuminata</td>
<td>GEN08-0041</td>
<td>1.54 b*</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>6.75 ± 0.76</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. americana</td>
<td>GEN08-0003</td>
<td>1.56 b</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>6.08 ± 0.50</td>
<td>2 x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. cathayana</td>
<td>GEN08-0010</td>
<td>1.52 b</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>5.94 ± 0.15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. chejuensis</td>
<td>GEN08-0040</td>
<td>1.44 b</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>6.13 ± 0.46</td>
<td>2n = 34</td>
<td>2x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. ferruginea</td>
<td>GEN08-0038</td>
<td>1.62 b</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>6.46 ± 0.39</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. formosana</td>
<td>GEN08-0029</td>
<td>1.34 b</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>4.86 ± 0.36</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. japonica</td>
<td>GEN08-0034</td>
<td>1.45 b</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>4.75 ± 0.36</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. japonica</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.52 b</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>7.02 ± 0.80</td>
<td>2n = 34</td>
<td>2x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Holoploid genome size ranged from 1.34 pg to 3.48 pg with a mean of 1.74 pg. Little variation in holoploid genome size was observed with the exception of C. salicifolia and C. macrophylla GEN09-0081, which had approximately twice the mean of other samples. C. cathayana Chang., C. chejuensis, C. ferruginea Sw., C. kwangtungensis Chun, C. longissima, C. rubella, C. shikokiana Makino, and C. tomentosa Makino. Callicarpa xtosaeensis is reported to be a hybrid of C. kochiana and C. japonica (Yamana, 1988). All of these species are native to Southeast Asia except C. ferruginea, which is of Cuban origin. This report is the first account of genome information from the New World center of genetic diversity. The current study evaluated nuclei stained with DAPI, which binds preferentially to AT-rich regions of DNA as opposed to a DNA intercalator such as propidium iodide. Propidium iodide is the recommended fluorochrome for precise genome size estimation (Leitch and Bennett, 2007); however, DAPI is acceptable for relative estimation and ploidy determination as used in the current study (Parris et al., 2010). Chromosome counts are reported for six species (Table 2; Fig. 1A–F). Four samples appear to be diploid with the chromosome complement 2n = 2x = 34: C. chejuensis, C. japonica ‘Leuocarpa’, C. longissima, and
C. rubella. Callicarpa macrophylla GEN09-0081 and C. salicifolia both had twice the number of chromosomes and were interpreted as tetrploids (2n = 4x = 68). Chromosome counts were compared with genome size estimates and used to infer the ploidy of species not analyzed cytologically (Table 2). The current counts are consistent with the findings of Mehra and Bawa (1969) and Sharma and Mukhopadhyay (1963) who reported x = 17 for C. tomentosa, C. psiloacyx C.B. Clarke, C. acuminata Roxb., and C. macrophylla (Table 1). On the other hand, base chromosome number in Callicarpa has also been reported as x = 8 or 9 (Lewis, 1961; Santamour, 1965). The erroneous citation of Sugiura (1936) is the only report of Callicarpa below 2n = 32. It is likely that C. japonica, C. americana, and C. dichotoma are diploid, not tetraploid as previously reported (Lewis, 1961; Santamour, 1965), however, as a result of the occurrence of multiple ploidy levels in other species, it may be possible that there are populations with chromosome complements of 2n = 16 or 2n = 18.

The current study reported two tetraploid species (C. macrophylla and C. salicifolia). Two accessions of C. macrophylla were included, one diploid determined by genome size estimation and one tetraploid determined by genome size estimation and confirmed by chromosome counts. Sharma and Mukhopadhyay (1963) previously reported a diploid count of 2n = 34 for C. macrophylla as well as a variant that was an apparent nullisomic aneuploid (2n = 32). Previous accounts of chromosome numbers of C. acuminata, C. psiloacyx, and C. tomentosa reported x = 17; furthermore, three collection sites of C. tomentosa were assessed and two were reported as octoploid (2n = 136) and one was decaploid (2n = 170) (Mehra and Bawa, 1969). The current research supports the report of Mehra and Bawa (1969) regarding the presence of a polyploid series in Callicarpa. Although it is not completely clear, dysploidy, not just isolated cases of aneuploidy, appears to be present in Callicarpa. Dysploidy is the stepwise loss of chromosomes within a genus and its occurrence in Callicarpa is supported by the fact that Chuan et al., (1963), Lewis (1961), Ono (1975), Santamour (1965), and Sugiura (1936) all reported chromosome counts in the genus that can be interpreted as varying from x = 17 and include x = 15, 16, and 18. Multiple base chromosome numbers have been reported elsewhere. Lan-tana L. is based on at least two base chromo-some numbers (x = 11 and 12) and polyploid series are found in both basic lines (Sanders, 1987).

All of the species included in the current study except C. americana ‘Lactea’, C. acuminata, and C. ferruginea have similar distributions in Asia (Shou-liang and Gilbert, 1994) indicating that the development of their current base chromosome number likely took place before their divergence. If the reports of Santamour (1965) and Sugiura (1936) are accurate and combined with the current report, then C. japonica also has aneuploid populations. Only a single genotype of C. japonica was used in the current research, so it is not possible to determine if previous reports are incorrect or if there are populations with different chromosome numbers. Lewis (1961) and Santamour (1965) both report the chromosome number of C. americana as 2n = 36. Because the distribution of C. americana is separated from other species that have been reported, it is likely that its genome evolution and polyploidization/diploidization occurred independently. Similarly, Ono (1975) reported aneuploidy in two of three species found on the Bonin Islands. These species have evolved in isolation, because the islands have never been connected to any landmass (see Asami, 1970; Kawakubo, 1990).

This research was initiated to support an applied breeding program with the goal of combining novel phenotypes such as the purple foliage of C. kwangtungensis with adaptability of American beautyberry. Germplasm was assembled through a combination of availability of material and horticultural interest. Over 500 interspecific crosses were made in 2008 in attempts to cross C. americana with six species, including C. acuminata, C. cathayana, C. formosana, C. japonica ‘Leucocarpa’, C. kwangtungensis, and C. longissima (data not shown). Fruit and seed were recovered from most crosses, but no seedlings were recovered indicating that post-fertilization barriers are present. To obtain hybrid progeny from these crosses, it may be necessary to use techniques such as embryo rescue, embryo culture, ovary culture, ovule culture, etc. (Kush and Brar, 1992). Our hypothesis was that different base chromosome numbers between species was the basis for apparent incompatibility. Perhaps this is a contributing factor to the lack of success in crosses between C. americana (2n = 36) and C. acuminata or C. japonica ‘Leucocarpa’, which both have the chromosome complement 2n = 34. Alternatively, C. americana and C. formosana are both reported to have a chromosome complement of 2n = 36, but reciprocal crosses between these species were also unsuccessful. These results suggest other incompatibility issues are present, which prevent hybridization between these species. There are no reports of attempts to make interpolyploid crosses in Callicarpa; however, based on our crossing efforts, it seems unlikely that interspe-cific/interpolyploid crosses will be successful.

The current research provides genome size estimates using flow cytometry on DAPI-stained nuclei for Callicarpa. Genome sizes all lie within the range of previous reports for Lamiaceae. Chromosome numbers are also reported for six species and the base chromosome number of x = 17 is common for all. Two tetraploid species are reported. The current research agrees with some of the previous reports on the base chromosome number and presence of the species (Lewis, 1961; Santamour, 1965; Sugiura, 1936; Sanders, 1987).
of a polyploid series in *Callicarpa*. Polyploidy within and among species suggests that polyploidization events have occurred in the genus. Although the current report adds to the available cytological information for *Callicarpa*, it also raises a question regarding correct chromosome complement of species, specifically *C. japonica*. It is clear that polyploidy and diploidy have played a role in the evolution of genus *Callicarpa* but to completely understand the role of these phenomena, the comprehensive cytogenetic treatment called for by Santamour remains necessary.
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